# Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 1 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC., )
 6
 7
          Plaintiff,
 8
                               ) No. CV 10-03561 WHA
     vs.
 9
     GOOGLE, INC.,
                              ) VOLUME I
          Defendant.
10
11
12
       HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
15
          Videotaped Deposition of NOEL POORE,
16
          taken at 42 Chauncy Street, Boston,
17
          Massachusetts, commencing at 2:05 p.m.,
18
          Wednesday, September 7, 2011, before
19
          Jill Shepherd, RPR, MA-CSR No. 148608,
20
          NH-CSR No. 128, CA-CSR No. 13275, CLR,
21
          and Notary Public.
22
23
24
     PAGES 1 - 95
25
                                                      Page 1
```

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 2 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

- 1 Q. When did you begin working on the underlying
- 2 data in benchmarking for this report?
- 3 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 4 A. Earlier this year after I received the
- 5 request to assist the legal team.
- 6 Q. Do you recall when you started working on 7 this?
- 8 MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection.
- 9 A. Well, I said earlier that, to the best of my
- 10 recollection, I received the request in the
- 11 March or maybe April time frame, so that
- would have been when I began the technical
- work that ended up with this report.
- 14 Q. Did you draft the report?
- 15 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Did you have any help?
- 18 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 19 A. Yes. The exact format of the report -- you
- 20 know, I did receive some --
- 21 MS. AGRAWAL: Sorry. I caution the
- witness not to reveal any attorney-client
- 23 privileged communications.
- To the extent that you can answer the
- 25 question without doing so, you can.

- discussed my report with Mr. Vandette.
- 2 Q. Did you discuss your report with Erez
- 3 Landau?
- 4 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you discuss your report with Seeon
- 7 Birger?
- 8 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 9 A. No.

13

- 10 Q. Anyone else you discussed your report with
- other than Professor Mitchell and
- 12 Mr. Kessler?
  - MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 14 A. I don't recall discussing it with anyone
- else, no.
- 16 Q. Did you discuss it with some attorneys; is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 MS. AGRAWAL: And I caution -- I
- instruct the witness -- caution the witness
- 20 not to reveal attorney-client privileged
- 21 communications; but to the extent you can
- answer the question with a yes or no, you
- can answer.
- 24 A. Yes, I have. I have discussed the report
- with attorneys, yes.

Page 22

Page 24

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 2 A. So I did receive some help with the exact
- 3 format of the report and some of the --
- 4 Q. How long did it take you to draft the
- 5 report?
- 6 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 7 A. It was written over a period of several
- 8 weeks, as far as I recall. It wasn't
- 9 something that I was working on, you know,
- 10 100 percent of the time.
- 11 Q. Did you speak with Professor Mitchell
- 12 regarding your report?
- 13 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 14 A. Yes. I have been involved with a number of
- 15 phone conversations with Professor Mitchell.
- 16 Q. Did you speak with Peter Kessler regarding 17 the report?
- 18 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 19 A. Yes. Mr. Kessler was involved in some of
- 20 those conversations too, yes.
- 21 Q. Did you speak with Mr. Vandette?
- 22 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 23 A. I don't recall specifically whether
- 24 Mr. Vandette was involved in any of those
- 25 conversations. I have not directly
- Page 23

- 1 Q. Did you discuss the report with any other
- 2 Oracle engineers?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did any Oracle engineers assist you in your
- 5 performance benchmarking or any of the work
- 6 that you did to prepare this report?
- 7 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. So you did all the work in this report by
- 10 yourself, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Do you have any opinions regarding this case
- that are not included in your report?
- 14 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 15 A. Well, this report is on a very -- you know,
- specifically relating to two of the seven
- 17 patents that are in question. You know, I
- 18 have been specifically asked to write the
- 19 report on those and not to form or express
- any opinions on anything else about this
- 21 case.
- 22 Q. So you have no opinions regarding any of the
- 23 other asserted patents or issues in this
- 24 case; is that correct?
  - MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.

Page 25

25

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 3 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

- 1 A. Well, not -- you know, I'm not a patent
- attorney, but it does seem likely to me from
- 3 an engineering opinion that some of the
- 4 other patents at least are indeed infringed.
- 5 Q. You've reviewed the patents?
- MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 7 A. I have not reviewed the patents. I haven't
- 8 sat down and read the patent documents. I
- 9 know --
- 10 Q. Does your report include all of your
- opinions with respect to the two patents
- that you were asked to address?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 15 Q. Is Oracle paying you anything in addition to
- 16 your regular compensation for your work in
- 17 this case?
- 18 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Approximately how many hours have you worked
- 21 on this case?
- MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 23 A. So this is not something that I have been
- 24 counting.
- 25 Q. Yeah. But, generally, do you have any idea

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. Do you have any financial interest in the
- 3 outcome of this case?
- 4 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. What is your annual compensation at Oracle?
- 7 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 8 A. Approximately \$192,000.
- 9 Q. Do you get a bonus in addition to that
- 10 salary?
- 11 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 12 A. Yes. There is a bonus at the discretion of
- 13 my manager.
- 14 Q. Do you have Oracle stock or options?
- 15 A. I do have some options that were issued when
- 16 Sun purchased Savaje.
- 17 Q. How many?
- 18 A. I believe that there are a few, you know, a
- 19 few hundred options outstanding.
- 20 Q. You have no Oracle stock currently?
- 21 A. I do not own any Oracle stock currently,
- that's correct.
- 23 Q. And you have a few hundred options?
- 24 A. I believe that's the right number, yes.
- 25 Q. Have you been informed that you would

Page 28

1 of how many days it would have added up to?

of time I have spent on this since earlier

- 2 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- $3\,$  A. I think the total must -- the total amount
- 5 in the year must be, you know, at least
- 6 four -- you know, four to six weeks,
- 7 something like that, if you were to take all
- 8 the time and turn it into a full-time
- 9 equivalent.
- 10 Q. Is your involvement in this case part of
- 11 your current job responsibilities?
- 12 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Your management knows that you are working
- on this case, correct?
- 16 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Have you in the past performed any work for
- 19 Oracle or Sun in connection with any other
- 20 case?
- 21 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Are you currently working for Oracle in
- 24 connection with any other case?
- 25 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. Page 27

- receive anything in return for your work in this case such as a bonus or a promotion?
- 3 A. No.

Page 26

- 4 Q. Looking at your report on page 19, is that
- 5 your signature?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And did you sign this document on August 6,
- 8 2011?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Is there anything in this report that you
- believe should be corrected or changed?
- 12 A. There is. In reviewing the materials, I did
- 13 notice one error in one of the attachments.
- 14 The spreadsheet, which contains the results
- of the '702, experiment three, the column
- 16 headers for the number of classes and the
- 17 number of quickened methods are switched.
- 18 Q. Did that affect the results you obtained
- 19 from the data in that spreadsheet?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. So that doesn't change the data reflected in
- 22 this report; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Are you currently working on any
- 25 supplemental report or analysis?

Page 29

#### Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 4 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. those? 1 1 2 2 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. I caution the witness not to reveal 3 3 A. There was one page that I looked at that any attorney-client privileged communications. summarized the Dalvick instruction set 5 A. No. I have not been asked to produce any 5 and -- but I don't recall exactly where that supplemental reports or updates to this 6 7 report or anything. 7 Q. Did you watch any videos relating to Android 8 Q. Are you conducting any additional analysis on the Internet? of Dalvick Virtual Machine or Android? 9 A. Not specifically in relation to this work. 10 MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection. Same 10 I mean, I had watched prior to this work 11 than I had watched, you know, videos caution. 11 12 A. I'm not conducting any new technical work in relating to Android, for example, Google IO 12 this area at the moment, no. presentations. 13 14 O. In preparing your report, you looked at 14 O. Prior to this work, did you look at any Android source code; is that correct? 15 15 other technical documents relating to 16 A. That's correct. 16 Android? 17 Q. Was that Android source code public, what 17 A. Yes. There is Java doc for the Android, you you received from the publicly available Web know, the Android APIs on the Internet, and 18 18 19 19 I certainly consulted that during prior work 20 20 A. Yes. As I outlined, for example, in and while I was writing applications. 21 paragraph 13 of my report, then the source 21 Q. Did you look at any documents that were 22 code that was used for these experiments was produced by Google to Oracle in this case, 22 23 pulled from the publicly-available Android 23 and those documents would likely have 24 source code repository. 24 numbers on the bottom of the page? 25 Q. Did you review any source code relating to 25 A. No. Page 30 Page 32 1 any particular Android-based device? 1 Q. The source code that you mentioned before 2 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. relating to Android, did you personally 3 A. No. 3 download that? 4 Q. Did you look at any technical documents 4 A. Yes. publicly available in an Android Web site? 5 (Exhibit 475 marked.) 6 A. Yes. So, for example, in Appendix C, which 6 Q. Exhibit 475 is U.S. patent number 5,966,702. I will refer to it as the "'702 patent," and is on page 32 of my report, then there is the URL of a document, public document, 8 this is one of the patents asserted in this 8 9 there on the Android Web site, which 9 case. 10 specifies the format of the Dalvick 10 Have you seen this patent before? 11 executable file. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. You looked at that document? 12 Q. Have you reviewed the patent claims? MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Did you look at any other technical 14 A. I have looked through the patent, but at no time have I been asked to express an opinion documents? 15 16 A. I can't think of any other specific on exactly which claims are infringed or 16 technical documents that I consulted, no. 17 anything like that. 18 Q. Did you look at any Web pages on the Android 18 Q. Do you know which claims are asserted in 19 Web site? 19 this case? MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 20 A. Well, I did consult the Web pages on how to 20 21 download the Android source, how to set up a 21 A. No. build environment, how to install the repo 22 Q. Was any of the work that you did and 22 23 tool that is used to set up the source code 23 described in your report particular to any 24 individual claim in the '702 patent? 24 repository and so on.

Page 33

MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form.

Page 31

25

25 Q. Did you look at any Web pages besides for

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 5 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

1 A. So the guidance that I was given in single file in order to benefit from the conversation with Dr. Mitchell was to focus 2 fact that they are within a single file. 3 3 Q. Have multiclass files been implemented in my work on the shared constant table that is used in the Dalvick executable file format. Sun or Oracle products? MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form, 5 Q. So Professor Mitchell told you what to look 5 at with respect to the accused 6 outside the scope. 7 functionality; is that correct? 7 A. I don't actually know whether a multiclass file in this sense that we've just 8 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. But you didn't actually review the asserted 9 discussed, or that I just discussed, has 10 actually been implemented in any Sun or 10 claims in this case? MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. Oracle product that has shipped. 11 11 12 Q. Is that correct? 12 Q. You don't know if the multiclass file 13 A. That's correct, yes. 13 described in this patent has ever shipped in 14 O. Are you familiar with the concept of a 14 an Oracle product? 15 multiclass file? 15 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 16 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 16 A. Well, there is a form of multiclass file 17 A. I am familiar with the concept of packing that is implemented in the CVM product, so 17 multiple classes into a single file 18 CVM has the ability to romize, 19 structure, yes. 19 R-O-M-I-Z-E -- to romize a defined 20 Q. Are you familiar with the concept of a 20 collection of classes. And the -- so those 21 21 multiclass file as it is described in the classes are then -- the loaded form of those 22 '702 patent? 22 classes is then stored in a single file. So 23 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 23 I guess you could argue that that is a 24 A. I guess as an engineer. I'm reluctant to multiclass file. 24 25 answer that question with a definite yes, 25 Q. Do you mean that the romizer can create a Page 34 Page 36 1 because I'm not necessarily familiar enough 1 multiclass file? 2 with the detail of this patent to -- you 2 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form, 3 know, it seems like an ambiguous question. 3 outside the scope. 4 Q. Do you understand the -- let me rephrase. 4 Sorry, Mr. Franz. I don't want to get Do you understand that a jar file will 5 in this fight with you again. I'm going to 5 ask you to stick to the report. The fact 6 have many different class files in it? 6 7 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 7 that he's not here as a fact witness or to 8 A. I understand that a jar file does contain 8 get into topics that are not within his many different class files, but that the 9 report. 10 structure of the jar file makes no attempt 10 Q. Can you answer the question? 11 to combine those different class files in MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection. 12 any way. A jar file is specifically -- I 12 A. So I'm not part of the CVM team, and, mean, it is a structure that is specifically 13 therefore, I wouldn't qualify myself as an 13 14 designed to package multiple files of any 14 expert in CVM. But my understanding is 15 kind, not specifically class files, into a 15 that, yes, it would be reasonable to 16 single container file. 16 understand the romized class file as a 17 Q. So a multiclass file, as you understand it, 17 multiclass file. 18 combines classes in some form or another? 18 Q. Do you know of any multiclass files in JDK? MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form, 19 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 19 20 A. I would understand the term "multiclass 20 outside the scope. Same caution. 21 file" to mean that you are doing more than 21 A. No, I do not. 22 simply, you know, bundling multiple 22 Q. Is it your understanding that multiclass 23 independent classes into a single file, but 23 files have a shared constant pool? 24 that you are somehow operating on the 24 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form,

Page 37

Page 35

25

outside the scope.

25

classes which are contained within the

## Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 6 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

1 Q. Have you tried comparing the dex file to its the Java byte codes that initialize an array 2 dexdump output? 2 and saving the initialized array value in 3 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 3 order -- and using that instead of executing 4 4 A. No. I don't believe I have done anything the byte codes that perform the 5 5 that would fall under that heading, no. initialization. MR. FRANCIS: Okay. Why don't we 6 6 Q. Do you know if this technique was 7 take a five-minute break. And we'll try to 7 implemented in any Oracle products? 8 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form, wrap it up. 8 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9 outside the scope. 10 10 A. I don't know that, no. 4:17. We are now off the record. 11 Q. You don't know if any Oracle Java products 11 (Short recess.) 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12 have this static array initialization --13 MS. AGRAWAL: Same objection. 4:28. We are now back on the record. 13 14 (Exhibit 476 marked.) 14 O. -- procedure? 15 Q. I bet you figured this was coming. 15 MS. AGRAWAL: Same objections. 16 Exhibit 476 is U.S. patent number 16 A. I don't. I haven't been asked, you know --17 6,061,520. I will refer to it as the '520 I was never asked to answer that question. 17 18 patent, and this is asserted by Oracle in 18 Q. Page 13, paragraph 62, you state "To study 19 the case. 19 the effect on dex file size for different 20 20 primitive data types, I created a number of Have you seen this patent before? 21 21 A. Yes, I have seen it. simple Java programs, all of which are 22 Q. Have you reviewed it? 22 similar to the following," and then you 23 A. No. As with the other -- as with the 23 provide some code; is that correct? 24 '702 patent, I have seen it, I have scanned 24 A. Yes. 25 through it, but I haven't seen a detailed 25 Q. Is this what a typical Android application Page 74 Page 76 1 review of the -- of all of the claims and so 1 looks like? 2 2 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. on. 3 Q. Do you know which claims are asserted in 3 A. This is code that is specifically structured this case from this patent? to enable -- to enable the measurement of 5 5 A. I do not. the effect on the size of the dex file of 6 6 Q. Have you reviewed Oracle's infringement different data types, array sizes, and contentions relating to this patent? 7 whether or not the array initialization is 8 A. Yes. I was provided with the -- with that 8 being optimized. 9 Q. How often are static arrays used in an document for the '520 patent, and I scanned 10 through it. So the process for this patent 10 Android application? 11 was basically the same as for the '702. I 11 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 12 consulted with John -- I forgot his name 12 A. So I have not -- I have not performed a 13 survey of an Android applications in now. 13 14 O. Professor Mitchell? 14 general, but, you know, in my experience, 15 15 A. Professor Mitchell, thank you. So I initialized arrays are, you know, relatively 16 consulted with Professor Mitchell, and he 16 common in applications, or in Java source 17 gave me direction. And the outcome of that 17 code in general. I wouldn't say that they 18 conversation was about how to proceed in 18 are a huge, you know, component of the 19 terms of testing and technical work for this 19 source code -- of most source code, but they 20 20 patent report. are used quite frequently. 21 Q. Do you believe you have a general sense of 21 Q. So if you had an application, what 22 what this patent is about? 22 percentage of that application would you 23 MS. AGRAWAL: Objection. Form. 23 expect to have static arrays? Would that be 24 A. Yeah. I believe, generally speaking, this 24 one percent of the application? Ten percent

Page 77

Page 75

25

of the application?

25

patent is about replacing the -- simulating

# Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-5 Filed 01/03/12 Page 7 of 7 Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
CERTIFICATE
3 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
4 MIDDLESEX, SS.
      I, Jill Shepherd, Notary Public, in
 6 and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts,
 7 do hereby certify that:
      NOEL POORE, the witness whose
 8 deposition taken on September 7, 2011 is
  hereinbefore set forth, was satisfactorily
 9 identified by means of driver's license, and
10 was duly sworn by me, and that the foregoing
   transcript is a true and accurate record of
11 the testimony given by such witness and such
12 testimony is a true and accurate
13 transcription of my stenotype notes to the
14 best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
      I further certify that I am not
15 related to any of the parties in this matter
16 by blood or marriage and that I am in no way
17 interested in the outcome of this matter.
      IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19 set my hand and notarial seal this 8th day
20 of September, 2011.
22
23
           Jill Shepherd, RPR
24
           Notary Public
25 My Commission expires: April 18, 2014
                                                 Page 94
 1
               INDEX
 3 WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 2011
 5 WITNESS
                                       PAGE
 7 NOEL POORE
 8 Examination By Mr. Francis
                                              5
10
11
            DEPOSITION EXHIBITS
12 NUMBER
                                                 PAGE
                      DESCRIPTION
14 Exhibit 472 Defendant's Notice of Rule
15
             30(B)(1) Deposition of
16
             Noel Poore
17 Exhibit 473 Summary and Report of
                                                  9
18
             Noel Poore
19 Exhibit 474 Resume
20 Exhibit 475 U.S. Patent 5,966,702
                                               33
21 Exhibit 476 U.S. Patent 6,061,520
22
23
24
25
                                                  Page 95
```